

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/880,899	06/15/2001	Edward Barkan	032230-035	032230-035 6608	
7590 10/23/2003			EXAMINER		
Samuel C. Miller, III			KIM, AHSHIK		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 1404				THI BRITONIBER	
Alexandria, V	A 22313-1404	2876			

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6 ·					m.	
1		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/880,	899	BARKAN ET AL.		
Office	Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Ahshik		2876		
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this c mmuni	ication appears n t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss	
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FO ATE OF THIS COMMUNION hay be available under the provisions So from the mailing date of this common respecified above is less than thirty (30 is specified above, the maximum standard the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months at dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. O) days, a reply within the stutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tim tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ed on <u>08/07/03 (RC</u>	<u>CE)</u> .			
2a) This actio	on is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.			
	application is in condition accordance with the pract ms				nerits is	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the a	above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from o	consideration.			
5)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> -	<u>-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.			
Application Papers	•					
,	cation is objected to by the		_			
	g(s) filed on <u>29 August 200</u>					
	may not request that any obje					
<i>,</i> — , ,	ed drawing correction filed			ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)∐ The oath or	declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
-	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Igment is made of a claim	for foreign priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
, <u> </u>	Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.			
2.☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in Application	on No		
·	ies of the certified copies of application from the Internached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		ige	
14) ☐ Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).	
, _	anslation of the foreign lan pment is made of a claim fo	• • .				
Attachment(s)						
· ===	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (P' sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1		

Art Unit: 2876

10

20

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 7, 2003 has been entered (paper #13).

Preliminary Amendment

2. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed on August 7, 2003. Claims 1-31 remain for examination.

Claim Objections

Claims 6 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:Re claims 6 and 15: the phrase "about 60.degree." needs to be rewritten without periods or "about 60°".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

25

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9 and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz (US 5,814,827, previously cited).

Katz teaches an optical code reader comprising a first, second, and third solid-state photo sensor array 68,70,72 (see figures 1 and 2). These sensor arrays would detect parts of a code, the circuitry within the reader combining and comparing to form electrical signals for decoding. Column 2, 4th paragraph discusses that it is well known in the art for scanners to have circuitry that electrical analog to digital conversion means. The detectors may lie on the same semiconductor die 92, or may be on separate dies (col. 5, lines 60-63). There is space on the die to support circuitry for the arrays and other components of the reader (col. 6, lines 17-20). Lens 96, 98, and 100 (formed as a packaged plate) are spaced in front of the sensor arrays, within 10 mm.

However, it is not specified that the sensor arrays be arranged at angles with respect to one another.

The art of Katz teaches that the sensor arrays may be of different positions planes, whereas signals from each of the arrays would be used to handle the decoding of a scanned code. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fashion the arrays in at angle in respect to each other, 60 degrees to each other, or in an triangle fashion, so as to provide a configuration best suited for the particular code reader to gather an optimal amount of light reflected from the code.

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

6. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz, in view of Feng (US 5,920,061, previously cited).

The teachings of Katz have been discussed above. However, Katz fails to teach anaiming beam for providing a reference spot on the code to be read, the beam produced from at least two LEDs and associated lens.

Feng teaches an optical reader, in which two LEDs (63B and 64B) produce an aiming beam for providing a reference cross hair spot on a code (see figures 1, 2, and 9). In front of the LEDs are focusing lens 722 and 724.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an aiming beam with an optical reader, as it is well used in the industry to provide a visual means of finding a bar code and correctly positioning the reader, so as to accurately read and decode that code.

Regarding claim 11, Feng shows that the aiming LEDs are produced and formed on the same die or board 54. This would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill, as such a design allows the components within the reader to take up less space, thus reducing the cost to manufacture the reader.

7. Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz (US 5,814,827) in view of Feng (US 5,920,061) and Meyerson et al. (US 5,818,028, previously cited). The teachings of Katz as modified by Feng have been discussed above.

However, the art fails to teach the specifics of the housing of the reader, the reader having a gun-shaped housing with a head and handle portion and a circuit board extending within the reader from the head of the reader through the length of the handle.

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

Meyerson teaches an optical reader comprising: a gun shaped housing comprising a headportion containing a sensor assembly and a handle portion sloping backwardly and downwardly from the head portion, said handle carrying a trigger 21; and a circuit board 20 extending from the head through entire length of the handle portion. The board carries an interface connector 28 at a lower end of the handle. Portion 19 of the circuit board contains the imaging assembly of the reader.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the housing design of Meyerson to the reader components of Katz as modified by Feng. Such a design is well known in the art and accepted in many retail and technological establishments. The gunshape is notoriously common in the industry. Having the circuit board extend throughout the head and handle portion allows all the components to be easily replaceable during service. There would be no need to detach particular portions of the reader for service; just an operation of removing the circuit board for easy maintenance.

Regarding claims 29 and 30, the art of Katz teaches that visual and audible indicators are common on the housing of code readers (col. 2, lines 50-55). Thus this measure would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add to the optical reader.

Response to Arguments

20 8. The Applicant's amendments and remarks filed on August 7, 2003 have been carefully considered. The Examiner appreciates the Applicant for amending claims, which clarified the subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/880,899 Page 6

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

20

25

However, in reading the claim 1 and other independent claims, it is the Examiner's view that "photo sensor array having cells arranged in a line along a plane of the array" would be similarly or identically interpreted as "photo sensor array having cells arranged in a line along an axis".

As indicated in previous Office Action (final, paper #9), line along a plane of the array would still produce infinite number of lines unless the plane is not limited in clear manner. A plane of the array can be one of a vertical plane of the array, a horizontal plane of the array, and any plane going thorough the array at any angle. In view of the above, amended claims did not resolve the issue raised in Advisory and Final Office Action.

Examiner respectfully requests the Applicant to amend the claims to limit the reader disclosed in figures 8(b), 8(c), 9(a)-9(d) in clear and precise manner.

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Stern et al. (US 6,603,874); Fazekas (US 5,635,700); Stern et al. (US 6,075,883) disclose an optical reader comprising a plurality of photosensors.
 - II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (703)305-5203. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (703) 746-4782. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

Art Unit: 2876

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim

5

10

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876

October 17, 2003

MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800